

Antiviral Activity of Brazilian Plant Extracts

Jarbas A. MONTANHA ^{1*}, Patrícia MOELLERKE ¹,
Sérgio A.L. BORDIGNON ², Eloir P. SCHENKEL ³ & Paulo M. ROEHE ⁴

¹Faculdade de Farmácia da Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Ipiranga 2752 (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, RS CEP 90610-000, Brasil. ²Curso de Biologia da Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Gravataí, RS, Brasil; *Herbário La Salle, Centro Universitário La Salle, Canoas, RS, Brasil.*

³Departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas - Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Trindade, Florianópolis, SC, Brasil. ⁴Laboratório de Virologia / Instituto de Ciências Básicas da Saúde, Departamento de Microbiologia (UFRGS)

SUMMARY. Ethanolic and aqueous extracts of fifty one plant species collected in Southern Brazil were tested for antiviral activity against herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) on Vero cell line. Nine of the species tested, namely *Aloysia gratissima* (Gill. & Hook.), *Baccharis erioclada* DC., *Baccharis megapotamica* Hook & Arn., *Baccharis uncinella* DC., *Glechon marifolia* Benth., *Glechon spathulata* Benth., *Ilex brevicuspis* Reiss., *Ilex theezans* Mart. and *Maytenus ilicifolia* Mart. ex Reiss. exhibited antiherpetic activity.

RESUMEN. "Actividad antiviral de extractos de plantas de Brasil". Los extractos acuoso e hidroetanólico de cincuenta y una especies vegetales recolectadas en la región del Sur de Brasil fueron estudiadas en cuando a su posible actividad antiviral. De las especies ensayadas, nueve inhibieron el efecto citopático viral (HSV-1) en células Vero: *Aloysia gratissima* (Gill. & Hook.), *Baccharis erioclada* DC., *Baccharis megapotamica* Hook & Arn., *Baccharis uncinella* DC., *Glechon marifolia* Benth., *Glechon spathulata* Benth., *Ilex brevicuspis* Reiss., *Ilex theezans* Mart. y *Maytenus ilicifolia* Mart. ex Reissek.

INTRODUCTION

Unlike antimicrobial drugs against bacteria and fungi, only a few effective antiviral drugs are available. One of the most important reasons for the lack of success in developing antiviral drugs is due to the nature of the infectious viral agents, which totally depend upon the cell they infect for their multiplication and survival, so that many compounds that may cause the death of viruses also are very likely to injure the host cell that harbour them ¹.

One of the possible methods which can be used for the discovery of active substances is the screening of plant extracts for antiviral activity followed by bioassay guided fractionation of active extracts to identify the active substance.

In searching for natural products as potential antiviral agents, several medicinal plants from the South American folk medicine showed *in vitro* antiviral activity ²⁻⁴.

In an effort to discover new compounds, with relevant anti-herpes virus activity, the aim of our study was to examine the potential antiviral activity of native species from South

Brazil. The species were selected mainly on the basis of their ethnopharmacological indications for treatment of viral infections such as influenza virus, bronchopulmonary infections and cold, but some other species were collected randomly.

In this study, hydroethanolic and aqueous crude extracts from fifty species were screened for *in vitro* antiviral activity against herpes simplex virus type I (HSV-1) in Vero cell line.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

The plants tested were collected from the Rio Grande do Sul State area, Brazil. Herbarium specimens are deposited in the Herbarium of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (ICN). The air-dried plant materials were grounded and extracted by maceration in 50% ethanol. An aqueous extract was also prepared with dried plant material (100 g) in hot distilled water not exceeding 60 °C (200 ml). The extracts were filtered, the ethanol removed, lyophilized and stored at -20 °C until tested.

KEY WORDS: Antiviral screening, Brazilian plants, Herpes simplex virus Type 1.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Tamizaje antiviral, Plantas de Brasil, Virus del Herpes Simplex Tipo 1.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Montanha@farmacia.ufrgs.br

Cells and viruses

African green monkey kidney cells (Vero cell line ATCC CCL-81) were grown in Eagle's minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10 % newborn calf serum, 2 µg. ml⁻¹ of amphotericin B and 10 mg.ml⁻¹ of enrofloxacin. A virus stock of herpes simplex virus type I, strain KOS (University of Rennes/France), ATCC-VR733 and 29R-acyclovir resistant were prepared on Vero cells infected at a low multiplicity of infection, incubated for 1 to 2 days, frozen/thawed three times, before clearing the preparation by centrifugation at low speed to remove the cell debris. Virus stocks were maintained in liquid nitrogen until use. Virus titration was performed by Kärber method ⁵ using a 96-

well microtitre plates. The virus titre was estimated from cytopathogenicity and expressed as 50% tissue culture infectious doses (TCID₅₀.ml⁻¹). It was 10⁻⁶ TCID₅₀.ml⁻¹ for strain KOS; 10⁻⁴ TCID₅₀.ml⁻¹ for strain ATCC and 10⁻⁴ TCID₅₀.ml⁻¹ for strain 29R-acyclovir resistant.

Evaluation of cytotoxicity

To assess the effect of extracts on uninfected Vero cells, dilutions ranging from 40 mg.ml⁻¹ to 0.15 µg.ml⁻¹ in the MEM medium, were added to Vero monolayers (using a 96-well microplate with 4.0 x 10⁴ cells per well). After 72 h of incubation at 37 °C, cytotoxicity was determined by microscopic examination of cell morphology in treated and untreated cultures. The concentra-

Species	Type of Extract	CC ₅₀ mg/ml	MTC mg/ml	Active Concentration mg/ml (CPE)		
				KOS	ATCC	AC-R
Aquifoliaceae						
<i>Ilex brevicuspis</i> Reiss.	aqueous	10	5	5	5	NA
<i>Ilex theezans</i> Mart.	aqueous	2	0.25	0.25	0.25	NA
Asteraceae						
<i>Baccharis erioclada</i> DC.	aqueous	2.5	1.25	1.25 0.625 0.312	1.25 0.625 NA	1.25 NA NA
	hydroethanolic	1	0.5	0.5 0.25	0.5 NA	0.5 NA
<i>Baccharis megapotamica</i> Hook. & Arn.	hydroethanolic	0.0097	0.0048	0.0048	NA	NA
<i>Baccharis uncinella</i> DC.	hydroethanolic	2.5	1.25	1.25 0.625 0.312	NA NA NA	1.25 NA NA
Celastraceae						
<i>Maytenus ilicifolia</i> Mart. ex Reiss.	aqueous	2.5	1.25	1.25	1.25	NA
	hydroethanolic	2	0,5	0.5	0.5	NA
Lamiaceae						
<i>Glechon marifolia</i> Benth.	hydroethanolic	5	1.25	1.25 0.625	1.25 0.625	NA NA
<i>Glechon spathulata</i> Benth.	hydroethanolic	2	0,5	0.5 0.25	0.5 0.25	0.5 0.25
Verbenaceae						
<i>Aloysia gratissima</i> (Gill. & Hook.) Troncoso	hydroethanolic	2.5	1.25	1.25	1.25	NA

Table 2. Plant extracts which showed antiherpetic activity (HSV-1) strains KOS, ATCC-VR733 and 29 R-acyclovir resistant. CC₅₀: 50% cytotoxic concentration (mg/ml). MTC: maximum tolerated concentration (mg/ml). NA: not active.

Species	Type of Extract	CC ₅₀ (mg/ml)	MTC (mg/ml)
Anacardiaceae			
<i>Schinus molle</i> Marchand	aqueous hydroethanolic	5 0.12	2.5 0.03
Aquifoliaceae			
<i>Ilex brevicuspis</i> Reiss.	hydroethanolic	0.25	0.125
<i>Ilex dumosa</i> Reiss.	aqueous hydroethanolic	0.125 0.25	0.0312 0.0625
<i>Ilex microdonata</i> Reiss.	aqueous	7.5	2.5
<i>Ilex paraguayensis</i> A.St.-Hil.	aqueous	10	5
<i>Ilex theezans</i> Mart.	hydroethanolic	2	0.5
Asteraceae			
<i>Baccharis articulata</i> Pers.	aqueous	7.5	2.5
<i>Baccharis cylindrica</i> DC.	aqueous	0.5	0.25
<i>Baccharis conyzoides</i> DC.	hydroethanolic	0.625	0.3125
<i>Baccharis erigeroides</i> DC.	aqueous	0.465	0.15
<i>Baccharis megapolitamica</i> Hook & Arn.	hydroethanolic	0.31	0.15
<i>Baccharis mesoneura</i> DC.	aqueous	0.0037	0.00092
<i>Baccharis patens</i> Baker	hydroethanolic	0.00048	0.00024
<i>Baccharis pseudoteniuifolia</i> L. Teodoro	aqueous	0.0039	0.0019
<i>Baccharis punctulata</i> DC.	aqueous	2.5	1
<i>Baccharis radicans</i> DC.	hydroethanolic	2	0.5
<i>Baccharis semiserrata</i> Steud. ex Baker	aqueous	62.5	0.03125
<i>Baccharis spicata</i> Hieron.	hydroethanolic	62.5	0.0156
<i>Baccharis trimera</i> Less.	aqueous	2.5	1.25
<i>Baccharis trinervis</i> Pers.	hydroethanolic	1	0.25
<i>Baccharis uncinella</i> DC.	aqueous	2	0.5
<i>Baccharis usterti</i> Heering	aqueous	2.5	1
<i>Mikania involucrata</i> Hook & Arn.	hydroethanolic	1	0.25
<i>Solidago chilensis</i> Meyen	aqueous	0.062	0.031
<i>Vernonia tweedleana</i> Baker	hydroethanolic	0.031	0.015
Bromeliaceae			
<i>Tillandsia aeranthes</i> Loisel	aqueous	5	2.5
<i>Tillandsia usneoides</i> L.	hydroethanolic	0.5	0.125
Flacourtiaceae			
<i>Casearia sylvestris</i> Sw.	aqueous hydroethanolic	5 1.25	2.5 0.625
Lamiaceae			
<i>Cunila menthiformis</i> Epling	aqueous	1	0.25
<i>Glechion ciliata</i> Benth.	hydroethanolic	0.25	0.06
<i>Glechion marifolia</i> Benth.	aqueous	0.25	0.12
<i>Glechion spathulata</i> Benth.	hydroethanolic	1	0.25
<i>Glechion thymoides</i> Spreng	aqueous	0.5	0.12
<i>Glechion thymoides</i> Spreng	aqueous	0.25	0.12
<i>Glechion thymoides</i> Spreng	hydroethanolic	0.5	0.12
<i>Glechion thymoides</i> Spreng	hydroethanolic	1	0.25
Passifloraceae			
<i>Passiflora actinia</i> Hook.	aqueous	2.5	0.06
<i>Passiflora alata</i> Curtis	hydroethanolic	0.06	0.03
<i>Passiflora capsularis</i> L.	aqueous	0.3	0.15
<i>Passiflora edulis</i> Sims	hydroethanolic	0.15	0.08
<i>Passiflora misera</i> Kunth	aqueous	10	2.5
<i>Passiflora suberosa</i> L.	hydroethanolic	5	1.25
<i>Passiflora warmingii</i> Mast.	aqueous	16	1
<i>Passiflora warmingii</i> Mast.	hydroethanolic	5	1.25
<i>Passiflora warmingii</i> Mast.	aqueous	5	2.5
<i>Passiflora warmingii</i> Mast.	hydroethanolic	5	1.25
<i>Passiflora warmingii</i> Mast.	hydroethanolic	5	1.25
Poaceae			
<i>Brachiaria decumbens</i> Stapf	aqueous	1	0.5
<i>Brachiaria decumbens</i> Stapf	hydroethanolic	0.125	0.0625
Sapotaceae			
<i>Chrysophyllum marginatum</i> (Hook. & Arn.) Radlk.	aqueous	2.5	1.25
<i>Chrysophyllum marginatum</i> (Hook. & Arn.) Radlk.	hydroethanolic	0.031	0.015
Solanaceae			
<i>Solanum cernuum</i> Vell.	aqueous	20	5
<i>Solanum nigrescens</i> M. Martens & Galeotti	hydroethanolic	10	2.5
<i>Solanum nigrescens</i> M. Martens & Galeotti	aqueous	10	2.5
<i>Solanum nigrescens</i> M. Martens & Galeotti	hydroethanolic	0.12	0.03
Syracaceae			
<i>Syrax leprosum</i> Hook. & Arn.	aqueous	5	2.5
<i>Syrax leprosum</i> Hook. & Arn.	hydroethanolic	0.12	0.06
Symplocaceae			
<i>Symplocos uniflora</i> Benth.	aqueous	5	2.5
<i>Symplocos uniflora</i> Benth.	hydroethanolic	0.25	0.06
Tiliaceae			
<i>Luehea divaricata</i> Mart.	aqueous	2.5	0.625
<i>Luehea divaricata</i> Mart.	hydroethanolic	1.25	0.625
Verbenaceae			
<i>Aloysia gratissima</i> (Gill. & Hook.) Troncoso	aqueous	5	2.5
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	aqueous	0.04	0.02
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	hydroethanolic	0.01	0.005

Table 1. Plant extracts which proved inactive against HSV-1 strain KOS. CC₅₀: 50% cytotoxic concentration (mg/ml). MTC: maximum tolerated concentration (mg/ml).

tion of the extract at which the cell number was reduced to 50% of the controls, was taken as the 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀). The maximum concentration at which no reduction on cell numbers was observed (compared to controls) was considered as the maximum tolerated concentration (MTC) ⁶⁻⁹. The MTC was determined for each extract before proceeding to make antiviral activity assays. All assays were carried out in quadruplicate.

Antiviral activity

Dilutions of the extracts were prepared starting from the previously determined MTC. Extracts from MTC, MTC/2, MTC/4, MTC/8 and MTC/16 were added on confluent 24 h old monolayers of Vero cells grown in microtitre tissue culture plates just before virus inoculation. One hundred tissue culture infection doses per 50 ml (TCID₅₀) of the HSV-1 KOS strain were added to each of the wells. Toxicity controls, cell and virus controls titration were run simultaneously. All assays were carried out in quadruplicate. When some antiviral activity was detected on HSV-1 strain KOS, the extracts were also tested against strain ATCC-VR733 and 29 R-acyclovir resistant. Plates were incubated for 72 h at 37 °C, and then examined for the presence of cytopathic effects (CPE). The concentration of extract which inhibited 100% of the viral CPE (compared to the controls) was considered as the active concentration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the course of studies for the evaluation of natural products with antiviral properties we have investigated anti-HSV-1 activity (*in vitro*) of the water and hydroethanolic extracts, prepared from fifty one plant species of fifteen different botanical families collected in Southern

Brazil. The antiviral activity was evaluated by inhibition of CPE in cultures inoculated with 100 tissue culture infecting doses (TCID₅₀). The concentrations (mg.ml⁻¹) causing 50% cytotoxicity in uninfected Vero cells (CC₅₀) and the maximal tolerated concentration (MTC) for inactive plant extracts are indicated in Table 1, and the active extracts concentration required for a 100% CPE inhibition are indicated in Table 2. We found that the aqueous extracts of *Maytenus ilicifolia*, *Ilex theezans*, *Ilex brevicuspis*, *Baccharis erioclada* and the hydroethanolic extracts of *Aloysia gratissima*, *Baccharis erioclada*, *Baccharis megapotamica*, *Baccharis uncinella*, *Glechon marifolia*, *Glechon spathulata*, and *Maytenus ilicifolia* showed inhibition of herpesvirus CPE at a MTC and in some cases MTC/2 (Table 2). Aqueous extract of *Baccharis erioclada* and hydroethanolic extracts of *Baccharis erioclada* and *Glechon spathulata* also inhibited the CPE of HSV-1 acyclovir-resistant and ATCC strain, thus we concluded that these extracts have an important antiviral activity and are potential candidates for further studies of activity-monitored fractionation to identify the active principles.

Our results gives conclusive evidence that nine species tested have antiviral activity. Present research in our laboratory is focused on the fractionation of the active extracts and isolation of the active principles (s) from these extracts.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by PROPESQ (UFRGS) and FAPERGS. P. Moellerke is indebted to CNPq/PROPESQ for the fellowship. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Lilian A. Mentz (UFRGS), Mr. Marcos Sobral (UFRGS) and Dr. Nelson Ivo Matzembacher (UFRGS), for the identification of several species tested.

REFERENCES

1. Vlietinck, A.J, T. De Bruyne & D.A. Vanden Berghe (1997) *Curr. Org. Chem.* **1**: 307-44.
2. Abad, M.J., P. Bermejo, S. Palomino, X. Chiriboga & L. Carrasco (1999) *Phytother. Res.* **13**: 142-6.
3. Betancur-Galvis, La., J. Saez, H. Granados, A. Salazar & Je. Ossa (1999) *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* **94**: 531-5.
4. Simões, C.M.O., M. Falkenberg, L.A. Mentz, E.P. Schenkel, M. Amoros & L. Girre (1999) *Phytomedicine* **6**: 205-14.
5. Payment, P. & M. Trudel (Ed.) *Manuel De Techniques Virologiques*. Québec: Presses De L'université Du Québec, 1989.
6. Wilson, A.P. (1986) *"Cytotoxicity And Viability Assays"*. In: "Animal Cell Culture: A Practical Approach" (R.I. Freshney, Ed.). Oxford: IRL Press.
7. Walum, E., K. Stenberg & D. Jenssen (1990) *"Understanding Cell Toxicology. Principles And Practice"*. Ellis Horwood, New York.
8. Montanha, J.A. (1995) *"Recherche De Molecules Naturelles Antivirales: Étude Particuliere De Carraghenanes Et D'alcaloides"* Rennes, France: Université De Rennes I., Thèse De Doctorat Mention Sciences Biologiques Et Santé.
9. Montanha, J.A., M. Amoros, J. Boustie & L. Girre (1995) *Planta Med.* **61**: 419-24.